Siraniman, Dr. L. 1-2-45
411 Medico Dental Bldg.
San Diego, 1, Calif.
508

"Isn't it queer . . . . that God still rules supreme ? !"

* * * *

"I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the office of President of the United States, and will, to the best of my ability, preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution of the United States".

VOLUME XIV. No. 36. SAN DIEGO (5) CALIFORNIA MONDAY, MAY 29, 1944 $2.50 PER YEAR—SINGLE COPY 5 CENTS

What Happened to the D. C. Attorneys?

The Bar Association of the District of Columbia held a meeting to consider the "Sedition Trial" and almost unanimously voted not to consider it at all because the appellate courts would handle it. Except for the few affirmative votes cast, mostly by the attorneys drafted by the trial court to represent the penniless victim defendants, the lawyers of the district prefer to have nothing to do with helping defray the terrific expense and loss that has been pushed upon the neck of their drafted confreres.

Does this denote fright? Does it denote apathy? ignorance? or panic?

Human nature is a very peculiar thing, for, before they were drafted, those 15 attorneys most likely would have joined the crowd and also voted against any help. The reasons for it are manyfold. The District of Columbia is literarily dominated by the Attorney General. The Department of Justice can make it very tough for any practicing lawyer. Then there is the war hysteria. People are funny, they consider anyone indicted by the "government" a leprous person, sight unseen. Especially, when the word "Nazi" is dragged in. Then there is the terrific Jewish pressure. As the story goes, Abe said that he became rich smuggling Gentiles into Washington. That Jewish pressure, economically, politically and through control of publicity, can smear a man badly. To beard the lion in his newdeal den is a full size Daniel job.

But what happened to the attorneys drafted for the defense? They seem to have changed overnight and gone over, against the "government".

The same thing happened to them that happened to many a boy who hates war, but once in uniform he fights like the devil. The government put both "in the service" of the U. S. A. The attorney for the defense must defend the due process of law and he finds suddenly that the court is partial to the prosecution and he goes full blast after the court. He must. Uncle Sam drafted him, he took an oath to defend due process of law.

This transformation is natural. In totalitarian countries the attorneys defending victims of presecution were often found equally guilty with their defendants and punished. And after Drew Pearson started to smear James Laughlin, it is evident that the totalitarian practice of attacking the attorney when he defends the client successfully, is the rule in Washington, Eicher fines them.

Everyone of us is reluctant to get into a fight that draws blood. The nation refused to join the war till Pearl Harbor happened. I did not care to expose Jewish tyranny till they went after my advertisers and terrorized them. That, of course, left me no alternative. They proved it and now that the drafted attorneys find themselves cornered and long established practice of court rules is ignored, they must give battle and they do. They are like the boys on the firing line. They are heroes and victims of war.

Since it is Uncle Sam who drafted them, every patriotic citizen who understands why they were drafted, to insure due process of law, will now help them. The others can stand back.

* * *

And what is this trial about? Let Milton Mayer tell you in LaFollette's THE PROGRESSIVE:

"Anti-Semitism, for instance, may be no less intense, and may be a great deal more, than it was before Pearl Harbor, but a movement for legislation against it, to which I think this trial is a prelude, will go great guns on the grounds that after all, aren't we at war with Hitler and isn't Hitler anti-Semitic?

"There are a lot of principles here, as there always are when Mayer hits the holiness trail. In the first place, those Jews who are glad that anti-Semites are being tried for no-matter-what are sadly deluded as to the way to save the Jews. The way to save the Jews, as Mayer's millions of followers already know, is for the Jews, every last bedeviled one of them, to live such exemplary lives that the Gentiles will ultimately be ashamed of themselves. That's a tough assignment for the Jews, but, with the Gentiles as bad as they are, it is no tougher than trying to be **no worse than** the Gentiles.

"Anti-Semitism is a sickness that takes root in already sick bodies politic and flourishes as the sick bodies grow sicker. The sickness has got to be cured, and the Jews, if they want to be saved, have got to cure the sickness of the body politic."

That is frank speech. The 29 are being tried for anti-Semitism, because the Jews cannot get them legally on that issue they have dragged in the phony charge of "conspiring with the Nazis". And there lies the menace: if during hysteria the courts can be prostituted to issue false indictments, nobody is safe and the Jews increase anti-Semitism by leaps and bounds.. The very indictment indicts them stronger than the fake charge against their victims.

Let us have a look at some of these defendants. Elizabeth Dilling gives a graphic description of what is going on:

LITTLE FOLKS IN COURT

More deaf even than Mr. Garner is defendant **David Baxter** whom I first saw and heard about in this trial. He is almost stone deaf despite a hearing aid. He, too, cannot hear his own trial. He is an odd looking serious youngish man, apparently one might judge in his thirties, a slight twist to his mouth being the result of a paralytic stroke, his Court-appointed lawyer explained. The Judge had set Thursday, April 20th after the regular court session to argue the matter of new bond for this third indictment. Bond fees for the first two indictments and appeals have already cost me $1100. When the courtroom had thinned out so that one could breathe and sit at ease, a pair of the sweetest, most adorable blue-eyed straw-blonde youngsters you ever saw came skipping into court. They brought with them the happy trustfulness, the wholesome eagnerness so in contrast with the sinister hypocrisy of the proceedings and the strained worried minds of the victims. At first I thought they had blundered in by mistake in play and would be put out. The little eight-year-old girl's puffed gingham sleeves bobbed up and down as she tripped down the aisle, her manly nine-year old "big" brother alongside. Sitting in jury chairs they swung their legs and scanned the strange sights with bright eyes. A sweet worn looking little woman entered with defendant Baxter and timidly approached the Judge's bench. Their Court-appointed lawyer arose to explain that defendant Baxter cannot always be made to understand even with shouting, that his wife had come to interpret for him, although partially deaf herself. She was described by one enemy paper as "a mousey looking little woman who probably looks older than she is."

When Mr. Baxter turned against the New Deal, we were told, he lost his living and has since been doing sign painting to support his family. Having no way of supporting them in California, their home, he had brought his wife and these two children along intending to do sign painting in Washington after court hours to keep them. If, the lawyer explained, it were made necessary for Mr. Baxter to pay another bond fee it would result in his going to jail and the wife and little tots going on relief. As the deaf Baxters stood there in mute supplication the little folks looking on, memories of my own sleepless nights and money anxieties and the sight of this little family, being so cruelly hounded by New Deal persecution welled involuntary tears up into my eyes and I retreated to the rear of the room. I then and there hatched a "conspiracy" which resulted in a doll with a pink dress for the little girl and a game for the boy. They came to the courthouse another day to find and thank me. "She kisses that doll all the time", the little man said, "and I am having a fine time with those puzzles. Thank you very much!" "Yes," chimed in the little girl, "Thank you very much!" They all share one room in a very poor section of town. They curled up on the floor and slept, the lawyer told me, while he conferred with their parents in his office.—Elizabeth Dilling.

* * *

Do you realize what this means? These people are being treated with the most refined cruelty. They have been forced to move into a hostile city where they have no means of support and must remain there for the next 3 to 9 months and attend court. If the man cannot feed himself and family, he must go to jail. This is refinement.

To help the attorneys and to help the defendants, we who are paying out monies in big gobs daily to bring the Four Freedoms to all the world by bombs and blockade, must find the money to help these people. They have been convicted of nothing. Jews like Milton Mayer agree that anti-Semitism is the real crime, which is no crime according to law. Shall we permit the corruption of the courts and the effect of such protracted trials to literally ruin the lives of those children and families? What will they think of Uncle Sam who lend-leases billions to Mexicans, Chinese, Brazilians and every foreigner in the world, but starves them in this refined and ruthless manner under cover of court authority?

You must, and you may send your contribution to James J. Laughlin, attorney, National Press Building, Washington, D. C., and specify the purpose. Aside from that, exert your influence among your friends that men and women of means may step in and alleviate these inhumanities which add fuel to anti-Semitism in our nation and must result in a revulsion which intelligent Jews should realize is doing them and their race no good whatever.

LISTEN TO MILTON MAYER

"I would like to see somebody try to prove that Lizzie Dilling constituted a clear and present danger to the United States of America. If she did, then I must say that the United States was neither safe nor secure in the first place, and that I do not believe.

"But I will tell you what does constitute a clear and present danger. The dragnet technique of conspiracy indictment, which throws a lot of nuts, petty racketeers, and genuine villains together—that, my friends, constitutes a clear and present danger. And the technique of using either a courtroom inflamed by war fever (or the long arm of the executive, as in the case of the postoffice suppression of Silver Charley Coughlin) to get rid of people we do not like—that, too, constitutes a clear and present danger."

—B—

WHO STARTED JAPANESE WAR?

Now that Prosecutor Rogge filled the record with Hitler's rise to power, let attorneys for the defense read Harry P. Howard's history of the Japanese War, published in The Progressive and reprinted in this paper on May 15th.

Had Pelley, Noble or Jones made those statements, it would have been called sedition. When Howard published the historic record in La Follette's paper, of course, that is good, academic history, dealing with facts. But what a revelation it contains about who, and how, drove Japan into the war and supplied the ammunition.

REPUBLIC ON TRIAL

"The history of the present King of Great Britain is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute tyranny over these States. To prove this, let facts be submitted to a candid world:

"He has erected a multitude of new offices, and sent hither swarms of officers to harass our people and eat out their substance. . .

"He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our Constitution and unacknowledged by our laws, giving his assent to their acts of pretended legislation:

"For transporting us beyond seas to be tried for pretended offenses;

"For taking away our charters, abolishing our most valuable laws, and altering fundamentally the forms of our governments; . . .

"He has excited domestic insurrections amongst us, and has endeavored to bring on the inhabitants of our Frontiers the merciless Indian (Red) savages. . . ."

—The Declaration of Independence, 1776.

* * * *

THE SEDITION TRIAL

DORCHESTER, Mass, May 13,— This is my view of the so-called sedition case which is now on trial in Washington. If the 29 people on trial actually violated any law of the land they should be dealt with harshly as persons committing a treasonable act against our government. However, if they have not committed any overt act, and merely indulged in criticism of the policies of the Roosevelt administration, the courts of our nation are violating the principles set down by George Washington and are also violating the Constitution.

No matter how strongly people may disagree or despise these 29 people, we should realize that we must only punish people who have violated the laws of our land. If these people committed treason why are they charged with sedition? Are we going to become a Hitler dictatorship by accusing all the criticizers of the Roosevelt administration as seditious?

RICHARD HAMEL.

* * * *

SEDITION TRIAL DEFENSE ASKS EXHIBIT COPIES

WASHINGTON,— Defense lawyers in the mass sedition trial demanded that copies of all German documents and their English translations offered in evidence by the prosecution, be furnished each of the 22 counsels:

The demand came as Joseph Burns, assistant prosecutor, offered five photostatic exhibits, one of which he said was a letter from Heinz Spanknoebel of Wuerzburg, Germany, a Nazi propaganda official, to Hans Strauss of Detroit. Srauss later forwarded it to Fritz Gissibl, first government witness in the trial being held in the district court.

'New Orders Issued'

Burns said the letter issued new orders to Nazi adherents in the United States, coincidental with changing the name of the predecessor of the German-American bund from "Friends of the Hitler movement" to "Friends of the New Germany". It was written in 1933, soon after Hitler became chancellor, according to the prosecutor.

It said dues no longer would need to be sent to Nazi party in Germany, and closed with "I shall expect further promotional work from all groups, and greet all with 'Heil Hitler'," said Burns.

SEDITION WITNESS NAMES 3 AS NAZIS

WASHINGTON,— After more than five weeks of accusations, legal maneuvering and courtroom pandemonium, the prosecution presented its first witness in the mass sedition trial—German born Peter Gissibl, leader of the German-American bund's Chicago unit in 1938.

Over strenuous objections, he identified as alleged former bund members three of the 29 defendants charged with conspiring in a Nazi plot to undermine the government. He pointed to Wilhelm Gerhard Kunze of New York, national bund leader; August Klapprott of New York, deputy national leader, and Herman Max Schwinn of Los Angeles, west coast bund leader.

Under questioning of Assistant Prosecutor Joseph W. Burns, Gissibl traced the evolution of the bund from the "Teutonia society"—an organization which, he said, he formed with a group of young immigrants in 1924. Purpose of the organization, he said, was to unite German immigrants who thought along national socialist lines.

He testified that the Teutonia society maintained contacts with a German organization known as the NSDAP and with officials in Germany.

He named Rudolph Hess, now a prisoner in England, as one of German officials with whom the Teutonians kept in touch.

He said that the Teutonia society became the "Friends of Hitler Movement" in 1932, the "Friends of New Germany" in 1933 and the German-American bund in 1936.

* * * *

Viereck's Attorney Speaks

Wild disorder broke out the moment Judge Eicher ordered Rogge to begin his statement at the opening of court. Defendants and defense attorneys were on their feet instantly demanding that the judge order the government witnesses removed from the courtroom. They also demanded a roll call of defendants and attorneys. The demands were overruled.

As a threatening court officer stood above Defense Attorney Thompson motioning for him to sit down peacefully, the red-haired lawyer stood his ground and entered an objection to the judge's "letting the government go along making reversible errors, or what we believe to be reversible errors," without defense attorneys having the right to object.

After Defense Atty. Latimer had been overruled on an appeal for a 30 minute recess to get a ruling from the United States Court of Appeals on Justice Eicher's ruling that defense attorneys would not be permitted to raise audible objections during Rogge's statement, the government prosecutor resumed.

(Continued on Page 4)

"NOT AFRAID TO TELL THE TRUTH"
PUBLISHED EVERY MONDAY
Published at 3865 - 43rd St., San Diego (5) California
Subscription $2.50 per year; $1.30 for 6 months
FRED de ARYAN, Owner
C. LEON de ARYAN, Editor
Declared a legal newspaper of general circulation for the County and City of San Diego, in California, by Superior Court Order, January 15, 1932.
Entered as second class matter March 27, 1931, at the postoffice of San Diego, California, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

A Republican newspaper, standing for a clean sweep of the Augean stables. Fearless fighter for a truthful, just, vigilant and tolerant nationalism. Lover of the Constitution and the Founding Fathers. Defender of free speech and free press, as the foundation stones of the republican form of government. Opponent of injustice, tyranny and oppression, and of all "isms" except Americanism.

What Is A Bar Association ?

As far as my memory goes in San Diego, the people turned the Bar Association down twice in voting against their recommendation for Judges and in each instance the people were right. The latest instance was this past election when the people voted for Joe Shell against Jake Weinberger. The Bar Association was overwhelmingly for Weinberger in their recommendation for superior court judge.

It so happens that Eicher had been appointed by FDR as Chief Justice for the District Court of the District of Columbia, since he needed a judge there who would run roughshod over and "be tough" on FDR's most effective critics. Thus we see the foresightedness of such appointment, which was not favorably regarded by the D. C. Bar Association, who would have rather had one of their practicing attorneys appointed.

To remedy this highhanded manner of putting ruberstamp judges on the bench, Washington Adams in today's FEDERAL CITY suggests a constitutional amendment, first that no Federal Judge shall be appointed to the bench who has not served for five years on a state bench, second that such appointments shall receive the approval of the Bar Association membership majority.

Now why the Bar Association?

A Bar Association is a closed union shop. It differs in nothing from any closed shop racket. The laboring stiffs are not educated and the lawyers are mis-educated, which is worse than no education.

Look at the Bar Association of the District today. Scared of their shadow, toadying to the Attorney General. What is such an endorsement worth? God give us Men! . . . . fearless men, upright men!

The individual attorneys have more guts than the association. Which goes to show that workers as individuals are a different breed from the closed shop racket. When put on their own, they awaken to their manhood. The herd spirit always destroys individualism.

Because Felix Frankfurter was born in Vienna and is an out and out subversive, un-American minded, pernicious influence in the U. S. A., an amendment is suggested to bar the foreign born from holding office on the supreme bench. Such remedies are silly.

The 29 defendants had full remedy against Eicher. They could have filed their affidavits of bias BEFORE Eicher ruled on the pleadings. Having accepted him on the pleadings, now they must take him for the whole trial. They knew his antecedents then, they should have acted promptly. The law made provision for handling his ilk.

To clutter up the Constitution with statutory law is not going to improve matters. Politicians of Roosevelt's ilk don't give a printer's dam for the Constitution. They take the oath as an empty formality to gain power and then throw the Constitution overboard. THEY are the GOVERNMENT, not the Constitution. So what good would it do to have more words in the Constitution and more amendments?

What we need is men and women who are men and women and not slave-minded creatures beholden to belly-security. As long as Americans are nothing but domestic animals who want to be fed by the farmer and taken care of, naturally the farmer may kill them and skin them, too. And Gentleman Farmer Roosevelt knows how. **And how !**

TAILORS and CLEANERS
GEORGE A. SAUL, Tailor — 1115 Broadway.

UNIVERSAL BOOT SHOPS
W. L. Douglas Shoes
America's Best Known Shoes
946 Fifth Ave. 1154 Fifth Ave.
2917 University Ave. 4314 University Ave.

DENNIS OPENS FOR DEFENSE IN POWERFUL REVIEW OF CONSPIRACY CHARGE

Prosecutor Rogge Makes Inflammatory Speech In Opening Statement for Dept. or Justice

WASHINGTON, May 21 (Special),—Justice Eicher on Monday (last) will begin the **sixth** week of the famous New Deal **"Moscow" style Mass conspiracy trial** of 29 Administration critics, without one witness having yet appeared upon the stand.

The jury of ten men and two women, plus two alternate men jurors, were sworn on Tuesday, May 16. Six of the twelve are Catholics.

Many formal motions were thereupon filed by defense counsel in open court, to protect the record for appeals in case of possible convictions. Then bedlam broke loose.

Rogge Starts Fireworks

Wednesday, May 17, will probably stand out in American court history as a memorable date, for the packed court room presented such a scene as perhaps never occured before.

The judge said, **"The 'government' will now present its opening statement.** Mr. Rogge, you may proceed."

At this point, the ordinary procedure in all courts, both Federal and State, is for the judge to recognize any defense attorney, if he arises, and to find out his complaint, in the meantime halting the prosecutor.

But not Justice Eicher. As attorneys bobbed up and down, shouting "your Honor, I —" etc., the judge's gavel resembled an electric hammer, drowning all other sounds.

Mr. Rogge would start again, and again the scene of confusion would be repeated. The numerous Red reporters of such Bolshevik sheets as "PM" and "The Daily Worker" were horrified and in their stories roundly denounced both attorneys and defendants.

Grievances Finally Heard

Finally somehow word reached the judge amidst the tumult that some of the lawyers had real griveances. ONE WAS THAT NOT ALL DEFENSE COUNSEL HAD RETURNED from recess just preceding the opening words of Mr. Rogge. Perhaps one or two of the defendants were not present.

Twice Lawyer Dilling tried to tell the judge about an absent attorney, but had to sit down at the request of a deputy marshal. Marshals forcibly seated other defense attorneys.

After many minutes of disorder, the judge suspended proceedings until the missing persons could be rounded up.

"Inflammatory" Opening

Prosecutor O. John Rogge, at long last, after having worked on the case since February, 1943, was now ready to make his "opening statement", in which, by law and custom, a cool, unbiased **outline** of the EVIDENCE he was going to present to the jury would be detailed.

But, instead, for three hours (including about an hour of interruptions and gavellings) the jury heard one of the weirdest and most inflammatory presentations of generalized charges, adjectival opinions and political window dressings ever delivered in a court room. And with considerable oratorical power and emotion.

So far as the jury and the defendants could learn, the prosecution did not have a single bit of evidence to show that any defendant had actually ever advised anyone in the armed forces to become insubordinate, or wrote anything of that nature, which is the only allegation of illegality in the indictment.

Lawyers' Complaint

The rule of the higher courts is that when a court error is being committed, whether by judge or prosecutor, that is the exact moment to interpose the objection, otherwise the defendant loses his chance to bring it up on appeal.

This applies not only to inflammatory statements, but also to other types of erroneous conduct or procedure.

The tumult aroused by twenty-two clever and learned lawyers, all trying to attract the attention of the judge, in their endeavor to enter objections for the record as Rogge was speaking, was something fearful to behold.

Only a few of the attorneys managed to rise above the bedlam and get a hearing. The order of the judge was that they should sit still and let Mr. Rogge, the prosecutor, say whatever he wished, regardless of its nature, and the judge promised the defense lawyers that they would be permitted to make notes and interpose their objections in due turn, after the prosecutor had finished. To this they, one and all, objected as prejudicial to defendants.

Moreover, the judge promised that they, in their turn, would not be interrupted by Mr. Rogge. (But they were).

Rogge's Opening

Mr. Rogge charged that there was a world-wide, Nazi-inspired, conspiracy, begun many years ago, even as far back as 1919, to overthrow "democracy" throughout the world by first "undermining the morale of armed forces", and that in this movement the various 29 defendants joined at various times, and did overt acts (not specified) after June 28, 1940, when the Smith act made them illegal.

This speech, in part, consumed nearly SEVEN PAGES (33 columns) in the Bolshevik paper "PM", most of which material was historical and touched many of the defendants only remotely.

Bolshehevik "PM's" Comment

One of "PM's" most violent scribes, writing under an Irish "monnicker", but believed to be a Russian, said: **"The defendants joined the shouting . . . They ranted and raved . . . Courtroom Scene: The Nazi Technique in Action."** How completely this Bolshevik writer misunderstood the American scene may be judged from his comment, as follows:

"All the more **disheartening** was the fact that **yesterday's demonstrations** were in **large part led by attorneys**—officers of the court, sworn to uphold the laws of the country. **To be charitable, let us say that they have to an amazing extent been infected by the psychology of the people they are representing. They were no more respectful of the court than the defendants. No less contemptuous of Justice Eicher's efforts to keep order . . .**

"At the recesses, little knots of lawyers would gather and beam on each other, shake hands and tell each other how wonderful they were. It was hard to believe, but there it was."

LAWYERS DEMOLISH OPENING

Practically every defense lawyer acquitted himself with honors in answering Prosecutor Rogge. All moved to **"strike the opening statement"** of the prosecution as inflammatory and, second, to withdraw the jury and declare a mistrial.

The judge overruled the first, but took under advisement the motion for a mistrial.

Messrs. **St. George and Thompson** wanted the record to show that attorneys had been "forcibly set down".

J. Austin Lattimer said that **Rogge's** arguments and opinions of overseas conspirators could not be sustained by evidence and the Nazis were brought in for smearing purposes only. His older son now serving Uncle Sam in the Indian heat, while the younger was preparing now to enter the army. Defendant Deatherage has a son in the service; and "my two clients are as honorable and as guiltless as anyone in the court room".

Lawyer Wm. J. Powers of Chicago, objected to **Mr. Rogge's** reference to the dead editor, 80-year-old Elmer J. Garner as **"conspirator Garner"**—when every man was assumed to be innocent until proved guilty; and no real evidence had been suggested that would tie up any of the defendants as having had a thought of undermining the morale of the army.

Lawyer James J. Laughlin assured the judge that there was no record in legal history of a judge-made rule, suppressing lawyers, with marshals pacing up and down.

The judge denied the motion of **Lawrence Denris** to exclude Rogge's speech, as prejudicial in its constant use of the terms **"conspirator" and "co-conspirator";** and also denied his motion to instruct Rogge to cease using such terms as **"fascism"** and **"naziism",** words without legal definition and not criminal under our law.

E. Hilton Jackson for **Rev. Dr. Gerald B. Winrod** said "the prosecutor occupied three hours to inflame and poison the minds of the jury before introducing a shred of evidence . . . The 30-page bill of particulars has nothing whatever to do with the statute".

GHASTLY COURT SCENE

Lawyer Ben Lindas for **George Sylvester Viereck** said he had always respected the courts, but that "as long as I live, the memory of yesterday's court scenes will haunt me as one of the most ghastly things I ever saw". And that the Prosecutor's seven references to Mr. Viereck were of perfectly legal actions, and that his client had never written or spoken one word against America, or against Jews, Negroes or any other group of good citizens.

Lawyer Ennis for **Gerhardt Kunze** said that the previous day's disorder resembled a **"Bowery court room brawl".** Neither he nor his client made any outcry. With **Ellis O. Jones,** Lawyer Laughlin and many others, he thought the judge's gavel had done much to prejudice the jury, and, along with the refusal to exclude witnesses during Rogge's speech, reversible error had been committed.

Gallagher's Fine Speech

One of the great speeches answering Mr. Rogge was that of Lawyer Gallagher, who challenged the venue. Twenty-seven defendants lived far away and should have been tried in their own districts . . .. Referring to the statute in question, he said "I fail to find anything said by any of these defendants which connects any one of them in any way with the armed forces . . . In yesterday's record I find not a word regarding the continuous gavelling. The record should show who was on his feet and the gavelling . . . I felt as I looked around yesterday that is was **useless to protest, as I saw men—lawyers I had known for years—being gavelled down, lawyers halted in the middle of a sentence".**

Rogge's long-prepared speech was filled with such inflammatory expressions as "the government will contend, the prosecution contends, it is our belief, we will contend, etc.—that the defendants stooped so low, that they became so low, propaganda campaign, blood bath, crucifixion of democracy, Jews, and Negroes (whom they must have expected on the jury!).

"Object?—to use every imaginable prejudice, to raise a miasma of feeling, through which the jurors could not see the truth," said Rogge.

Lawyer J. H. Bilbrey for **Franz K. Ferenz** said, "We cannot go ahead and have a fair trial with Rogge's statements in the record".

Klein Denounces "Lies"

Lawyer Henry H. Klein for Col. E. N. Sanctuary denounced the words of the indictment and also in Mr. Rogge's speech, falsely charging that Hitler had proclaimed a war between "his order" and "democracy". He quoted from the book, "My New Order", edited by Raymond Gram Swing, that Hitler had said the war was between the "production of goods" principle and the "gold monetary system". Moreover, the Bund, which Rogge so roundly denounced, was given a clean bill of health, as harmles, by our FBI on April 3, 1939.

Fine speeches were made by **W. Hobert Little** for **David Baxter, Frank J. Kelly** for the soldier **Prescott Dennett, L. H. J. Herwig** for **P. Stahrenberg,** and **Ellis O. Jones** for himself.

Attorney **James J. Laughlin** called Rogge "the lowest insect that ever crawled across the pages of time" for objecting to him furnishing Jones with some legal citations and a part of another lawyers' brief to read.

DENNIS LEADS DEFENSE

To LAWRENCE DENNIS, brilliant writer and speaker, was accorded by the lawyer staff the opportunity of making the opening speech for the defense. This he did, with great honor to himself and the defense, impaling the prosecutor upon the javelin of his logic and eloquence.

Mr. Dennis, a Harvard graduate, brilliant author and former member of the diplomatic staff of the State department, is "tall, dark and handsome".

He is said to have Indian in his ancestry. He certainly "put the Indian sign" on Prosecutor Rogge, exposing his indictment, bill of particulars and opening speech as without merit in law or fact, and at least 95% pure window dressing.

It is a political trial, which takes on the nature of a persecution, greatly resembling the trial of Officer Dreyfus in France, who was charged with selling out to the Germans, and was finally vindicated.

POLITICAL TRIAL

He charged that this **political trial** was the **"Protocols of Zion"** in reverse; the "Protocols" alleged a world conspiracy on the part of Jews against Gentiles, while this trial charges a "world conspiracy" on the part of Gentiles against the Jews.

The first was called a forgery and this is a nonsensical falsehood. There is and there cannot be such a thing as a world conspiracy. The records of history will show that the Nazis never tried to undermine or soften the morale of foreign armies, but on the other hand let the armies function under their own leaders, the Nazis collaborating with politically prominent (as a rule—and except Petain in France). They were guilty of all sorts of conspiracies, but not any affecting "morale" of the soldiers.

"Vishinsky" Rogge

Mr. Rogge called Mr. Dennis the "Rosenberg" of the conspiracy case. "If I had been the Rosenberg of these defendants, would they have laughed when Rogge made that crack?" "No," said Dennis: he then accused Rogge of being the "Vishinsky" of the prosecution.

The prosecutor jumped to his feet and had Justice Eicher expunge the accusation from the record . . . But later, Mr. Dennis protested: "He compared me with an enemy, while I compared him with the prosecutor of Moscow, who belongs to one of our 'allies'. I apologize to Vishinsky, as I think his trials were more honorable than this one".

"This is a 'free speech' trial," said Dennis. "That is the vital issue here at stake. And it is part of a conspiracy by the Administration to put over a Fourth Term."

Strong opening statements were made by Ellis O. Jones for himself, Henry H. Klein of New York for Col. Sanctuary, Albert Dilling of Chicago for Mrs. Elizabeth Dilling, Bilbrey for Ferenz, and Ben Lindas for George Sylvester Viereck. Other attorneys reserved their opening statements for delivery after the prosecution closes its evidence.

The Natural Way of the Old-Fashioned Way of Healing

By George Starr White, M. D.

As I write this, I am looking at an original crayon drawing, or sketch, of a natural path, or way, over which I used to tread when a child, to gather herbs, and barks, and roots, and leaves, for my family to use in making NATURAL REMEDIES. The "Old Injun Doctors" taught me how to gather them, though my family had made such medicines for generations. The sketch referred to, leads to the woods through which a singing brook flows and in my mind's eye I see the birds flying to and fro, and the squirrels on the rail fences waiting for me to feed them as I pass by.

Geo. Starr White

On the rail fences and on the rocks and the barks of trees I could always find a great variety of lichens of many different colors. (I was told that some of these sprawling, flat plants were good for dyes, others were good for foods, and still others were "wonderful for medicines.")

In the brooks I found tiny plants that were green and I was told that although these "water plants" were much like the lichens, yet the algae (water plants) contained the chlorophyl that made the grass green, while the lichens contained no cholorophyl.

My grandmother used to keep an earthern crock that contained pieces of bread that were moldy. She told me to put all old pieces of bread in there, so they would gather more mold. She told me that the earthen crock would collect moisture from the air and help make the mold grow. This moldy bread she would use for poultices, by wetting them and spreading them on cloth and wrapping them about a bruised, or cut, place on the body. She also used the same kind of "mold bread poultices" on injured part of the horse and cows, and other animals. She said the mold continued to "grow" in the poultice, and would kill the "poison" in the wound. For sore throat she would soak the moldy bread in warm water and strain it. She would have us gargle our throats with the water that went thru the strainer. She did the same, if she had a sore throat. She also made a wet poultice of the same bread and wrapped it about the throat.

After I had studied botany a little, I learned that the bread mold was known as Penicillium glaucum, or Penicillium Notatum ("glaucum" meaning "sea-green" color; "notatum" indicating the spots, lines, or the like, often seen under the microscope). I learned that there were thousands of varieties of moulds and lichens, but that the blue-green bread-mould (Penicillium glaucum, or Penicillium notatum) was the one of choice for NATURAL HEALING.

The "back-woods" people and the Indians recognized the value of the gums and resins found in trees, or on trees and plants, as well as the blue-green bread mould. Nature doctors—Naturopaths—took quickly to all such Nature Helps and called them "HEALTH HELPS." The other line of doctors, known as "M. D.'s" often ridiculed such "commonplace, backwoods, old-women's medicines."

(To Be Continued)

—B—

"WHAT WE ARE DOING"

"Critic," writing in the **New Statesman and Nation** for Dec. 4, reports a growing distaste among the English for the mass bombings of Germany. "We ought," one of his correspondents says, "to know what we are doing." Whole areas are burnt to a cinder, "leaving behind them thousands of charred bodies of people incinerated in their shelters." All talk of purely industrial objectives has been dropped.

"Our villagers," Critic says, "as the bombers pass on their way, shake their heads and hope it means the war will soon be over. If they do not take the view of the pacifist group that writes asking me to start a campaign against indiscriminate bombing, it is because they do not see any other way out of the ghastly business."

—B—

It can be said on excellent authority that Secy. Cordell Hull was very much opposed to Fr. Orlemanski's trip to Moscow but that he was overruled by the president.—Albert N. Leman.

—B—

Please send me The Broom for 6 months for which you will find inclosed $1.60.

And oblige — — — —

—B—

"GLAMOUR GIRLS" IN D. C.

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

I read your article in The Broom about the glamour girls in Washington, doing government work, and I was quite thrilled about it. Gave it to a lot of other folks to read at the office, and we were all puffed up about it, more or less!

Good luck to you. E. D. — Washington, D. C.

The Sun Work Shop

Good THOUGHT

Good WORD — Good DEED

"You Shall Know the Truth"

Friends have besieged me persistently to stop printing religious, health, economic and personal items, but confine myself purely to politics, since the mass of the people respond to it easily, while they consider Oriental mysticism, astrology, vegetarianism so much crack-potism.

But Life is not controlled by politics. Politics and politicians are creatures of the people. Thus they are secondary, tertiary factors. We must deal with fundamental factors to master Life and make the best of our talents, faculties, powers.

Life starts with breath, with the cell in mother's womb; with the sperm of the father; with mother's blood that feeds the foetus; with her breath at conception; with her feelings, hopes, aspirations, diet; with father's character and ability to raise a well-bred, healthy, intelligent offspring; with mother's ability to make the home homey; with the right diet and thought of both parents and with the child's individuality. All these factors combined produce "society" and the setting in which the child grows. When these factors are right, then the child is healthy, grows up intelligently, understands Law, Order, Providence that rules everything in Life and then, naturally, the political side is taken care of!

This is the business of this paper.

We do not neglect politics and the political structure of government, but we make no fetish of it.

"You shall know the Truth and the Truth shall make you free!" not F D R, Hitler, Churchill, Stalin. If that one item went home nobody would be such a fool as to follow blindly these blind leaders into disaster.

—B—

FDR OVER-RULED BISHOP

WASHINGTON,— Inside fact about Father Orlemanski's now famous trip to Moscow is that his passport was expedited by the White House. The state department had little to say about it.

A good many military and diplomatic matters are discussed directly between the White House and Stalin, and this apparently was one of them. Anyway, the state department got word that the Russian government was interested in having Father Orlemanski come to Moscow, and so, as in the case of most such Allied requests, this one was promptly granted.

The church hierarchy, hearing about the matter, immediately made inquiries aimed to stop the issuance of a passport, but, although the state department listens sympathetically to church requests, in this case the passport was considered out of state department hands.

The White House had taken the view that if Stalin thought he could reconcile Polish and Russian views, plus relations between Russia and the Catholic church, through Father Orlemanski, no stone should be placed in his path.

Meanwhile, a Soviet plane was sent to Detroit and the priest got aboard before church officials could make any other efforts to stop him. The route the plane took via Alaska and Siberia, is one of the most secret of the war, and has been accorded only to a few people, such as Wendell Willkie, on special request. United State ambassadors ordinarily have to go the longer way via Europe.—Drew Pearson.

—B—

TIME FOR ACTION

Whatever the outcome of the Montgomery Ward seizure by Federal government, it has focused attention on a congressional bill long overdue for consideration—the Gwynne "Fair Government Practices" act.

Introduced by Rep. Gwynne, of Iowa, it aims at protecting Constitutional processes and civil liberties, at preventing usurpation of authority by the Executive and his agencies. It would require the holding of hearings, the compliance with "orderly processes" and review by the courts.

Arbitrary extension of the War Powers Act to cover seizure of a mercantile concern would be declared illegal. All in all, the Gwynne bill would seem to be putting the law behind the assertion that "This is still America".

Languishing overlong in the Judiciary Committee, the Iowan's bill should be brought out for immediate action. —Vista Press.

Truth about Arthritis

Its cause, prevention and cure, without medicines, drugs, injections, spinal adjustments or electric treatments.

Only Nature can cure.

For information write to

KATHERINE LARSON

P. O. Box 444, San Diego, 7, California

Getting Winchell off the Air

Senator Wheeler is attempting to get Walter Winchell off the air by sponsoring a bill to prohibit sponsors from backing radio commentators.

Evidently Mr. Wheeler has not read the first amendment to the Constitution that prohibits congress from making laws abridging the freedom of speech. If sponsors must not pay the time on the radio and a fee to commentators, then the commentators will have to first be millionaires before they can speak on the radio. Mr. Wheeler is following in the most intelligent footsteps of the proverbial bear who watched over the sleep of his keeper and seeing a fly on his forehead, decided to kill the fly. He heaved a rock, killed the fly and the keeper.

Walter Winchell could not stay on the air for two broadcasts if the people of the U. S. were not filthy minded. They love the keyhole, peephole filth. By stopping Winchell one does not improve matters. Winchell is as necessary as Roosevelt. They are the two hands of the clock that tell the times we have created for ourselves in this nation.

—B—

Dear Sir,

Please enter the following names on your mailing list for subscription for the Broom, beginning with the Issue of the 8th Inst. This one is very good as it gives such a good writeup on the so-called sedition trial now in progress.

I am enclosing M. O. for three Dollars ($3.00) to be applied on these subscription as nearly equal as you can.

Yours for a renovated Republic, — INDIANA.

DON'T MISS THESE BOOKS:

LIGHTNING OVER THE TREASURY BLDG. $1.00
By John R. Elsom.

STEP-DAUGHTERS OF WAR $2.50
Helen Zenna Smith.

Prostitution and The War — The Races of Mankind—What Makes Crime?—War, Babies and The Future, How Can We Pay for the War?—10c each—$3.00 for selection of 30 Fine Titles.

HERBERT S. MACE
Books of Merit for Thinking People
305 West 6th St., Artesia, California

U. S. IS ALREADY A DICTATORSHIP:

Jessie Sumner
Chicago Tribune, April 5, 1944

The United States now is really a camouflaged dictatorship, Rep. Jessie Sumner (R., 18th) told nearly 200 Republican women of Kankakee, gathered at the home of State Rep. Victor McBroom, Republican county chairman of Kankakee county.

"Today we have left just enough independence to make us believe our republic is still alive," Miss Sumner said. "What we really have is a camouflaged dictatorship. The risk is too great for the American voters to tolerate another 4, 8, 12 or even 16 years more of deterioration in this government."

American resources and American lives are being dissipated all over the world. Miss Sumner charged, while Americans fighting the Japs, who attacked American territory, are not getting the equipment they need because it is being sent to Europe.

"Why?" she asked. "Is it to save England? England was saved when Hitler failed to cross the channel and turned on Russia. Unless there are unforseen changes in plans, the best we can get out of the proposed invasion of Europe is boatloads of corpses and another war to fight."

BEWARE OF BURNS

ake care in the kitchen! In tha one room in the house most burn occur, according to the Nationa Safety Council. Do you make it a practice to turn all handles of cooking utensils away from the edges of the range to protect yourself and members of your family from possible burns through spillage of a utensil's hot contents? And do you keep handles turned away from other lighted top burners, too? In the emergency of small and minor burns, it is well to remember that the simplest treatment is now considered the most effective in some of the leading hospitals: be careful not to break any blisters; cover area with sterile fine mesh gauze to which petrolatum, better known as petroleum jelly, has been liberally applied, and dress with a fairly thick gauze bandage applied firmly but not too tightly. Call a doctor immediately if the burn is deep or covers a wide area.

HOOVER'S SINGLETAX HOME

The Bee informs an enquirer asking if Herbert Hoover owns a home in Palo Alto that his residence is on San Juan Hill, Stanford University campus. We are informed that under Mr. Stanford's will campus home sites may be rented, the rent going to the University. Mr. Hoover's home, therefore, is held under the principle of Land Rent for University Revenue commonly called Singletax. The Lincoln School lot on Market Street, San Francisco, is also held under lease paying Land Rent yearly to Lincoln School. When we use this plan on oil, coal and mineral lands we will have removed the greatest cause for booms, depressions and wars.

—George Cartwright.

IS ANTI-SEMITISM SEDITION ?

In the trial of the 29 in Washington, none of the evidence so far named points to any "seditious" activity other than that the defendants are charged with being "anti-Semitic" in the opening speech of Prosecutor Rogge. Now that is something to consider.

Evidently, according to Rogge, anti-Semitism is sedition. In that case then he establishes the rule that the "government" is Jewish and anybody who denounces Jewish domination is "seditious". But Mr. Rogge will be hard put to quote a law that established the Jews as the rulers of the U. S. A. and that punishes their critics as seditionists.

Anyway, since the Newdeal never proceeds in a straightforward way, Mr. Rogge is now obliquely announcing that anti-Semitism is unlawful because it is un-American, since the Jews are the ruling class here. If such is not the effect of Rogge's procedure, what is it anyway?

To criticise Jews is not a crime, sofar..

—B—

THEY CRY, "SIC, SIC !"

Says Editor de Aryan editor of **The Broom,** San Diego:

"The result of the Winchell-Dies radio 'debate' proves there is a smear-bund which uses both Dies and Winchell as their tools to terrorize anyone who dares to lift his voice against ursurpation of powers by individuals in government positions."

As long as men (including Editor de Aryan himself) persist in discussing men, instead of principles, so long will it be possible for our exploiters to protect themselves by getting these men to figthing each other and so divert attention from their own activities. —Beckwith in THE FORUM.

CHIROPRACTORS

DR. O. R. WAGNER, D. C. 2863 Fifth Ave., Phone Jackson 1439.

PINTLER & IRISH, 1956 Fifth Ave., Phone Franklin 4988.

DR. R. J. McBRIDE, D. C., 411 Fifth Ave. Bldg., Main 1466.

LAW OF RENT

Land Rent value grows as
people gather round,
Nothing else produces value
in the ground;
It's a public asset, made for
the people's good,
And they will surely take it
when this law is understood. —Geo. F. Dyer.

LOOKING AHEAD

Rich Uncle

By GEORGE S. BENSON
President of Harding College
Searcy, Arkansas

A YOUNG 4-F of my acquaintance works at a chemical laboratory in a distant city. While visiting at home a few months ago he scratched around considerably on his father's big farm collecting rocks. When he went back, he took the rocks with him, also notes on elevations and thicknesses of strata. He said he had always believed these shale glades might have value. Now he would find out!

More recently he was at home again with a business-like glint in his eye, saying nothing about his mineral samples. One morning he went horsy and saddled a nag. Not pleasure; it was a business trip. He cantered directly to the home of his mother's uncle, the richest retired capitalist thereabout. When he returned he was a sadder, wiser young man.

Pretty Dream

APPARENTLY his home acres are full of a good grade of valuable ore. He had visions of incorporating a new, local industry soon after the war, offering jobs to veterans and former war workers. All he needed was capital, he *thought*. He had even charted markets, demands and prices for past years showing probable production and profits to interest the uncle.

"Uncle Jeff knows his business," the lad said sadly. "He says he has $100,000 to put in such a venture if he can figure a way to come out whole. I showed him how we could earn $100,000 the first year, but he got out tax sheets and showed me that 100% yearly return on a $100,000 investment was no good to him. All that tax laws will let him keep, along with his other income, is less than $3,000."

Grave Risks

OF COURSE a $3,000 income is $60 a week, good pay if it is salary; no investment and no risk of financial loss. But when people invest sizable sums of money in industry, it is not usually in a "sure thing." There are always risks to run, and here arises our gravest prospect at the end of the war: Our victorious fighters to come home and find no jobs.

The figures below support the young man's story. He proved at considerable trouble what economists have been saying for two years. Capital earns about as much and is safer frozen in bonds than active in business. When an investor's money returns but little, he wants it safe, in municipal bonds for example; not in venturesome enterprise.

We Americans need a lot of items now and would buy them Manufacturers know how to make them, good enough for anybody at popular prices. Such work can provide peace-time jobs for millions, but will it happen? Well—there's one sure way to spoil the prospect: Keep tax laws just like they are, so people who invest in industry can't benefit from it. Investments are absolutely essential to making jobs.

Net Profit	$100,000
Normal Profit	$ 8,000
Normal Tax 40%	$ 3,200
Excess Profit	$92,000
Excess Profit Tax 85%	78,200
Total Taxes	81,400
Net Profit After Taxes	$ 18,600
Personal Income Tax 85%	15,810
Income Remaining	$ 2,790

FEDERAL CITY

Constitutional Reforms Suggested . . .
Why Not A National "Poll" Tax ? . . .
Abolish Nominating Conventions . . . .
Excess of Women After War . . . .

By WASHINGTON ADAMS

WASHINGTON, May 22,— This writer believes that Uncle Sam can be rescued from the slavery of centralized government and oligarchic totalitarianism into which twelve years of Newdealism have been enmeshing him, but only by a Republican triumph at the polls this fall, and thereafter by the adoption of a modern **"republican Bill of Rights".**

The **Senate** was originally intended by the Constitution framers, to be the **appointer** of all important federal officials, such as judges, ambassador, etc., and for three months they had such a provision in their adopted preliminary draft.

But there, as convention chairman, sat the great General Washington, father of the new Republic, and out of love and admiration for him they finally decided to give the President the power of appointments, by and with the **ADVICE** and **consent** of the Senate.

Washington and Jefferson never made an appointment to the courts without first consulting the Senate. And many other Presidents followed their example.

We see now that to allow this appointing power to the President results in giving him too much power, AT THE EXPENSE OF THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH.

It tends to make the **Congressmen sycophants** and lickspittles of the President, since they have to curry his favor, lest they be given no **"patronage" in the matter of appointments within their States and districts, and thereby lose out for re-election.**

The chief result of a such a system, as worked by Roosevelt and his oligarchy, has been the **lowering of the standard of "statesmanship"** in Congress to an all-time bottom..

Walter, the Russian, in his column in 800 newspapers on April 30, quoted **Wesbrook Pegler** as describing one of the **infamous "rubber-stamp"** congresses as **"a miserable, fumbling, timid aggregation of political trimmers and panhandlers"** and "a **sorry counterfeit** of a legislative body".

The Russian also quotes the Washington **"Times-Herald"** as referring to Congressmen as **"conscienceless politicians"** exploiting the people. This was some few years ago, when FDR and his palace guard drove them with whips!

Our people insist, wrongly it is true, upon having 1,000 DELEGATES to pick ONE Presidential candidate, yet they permit that ONE MAN, dictator-like, to SELECT AND APPOINT HUNDREDS OF IMPORTANT OFFIALS, all of whom should be named by Senators the true representatives of the people, and of the States. Let the **President** confine his work to **"enforcing the laws of Congress".**

For a Federal judge to be responsible to the President tends toward "ONE MAN GOVERNMENT." He shoulld be responsible to the **PEOPLE** and their **SENATE!**

At the conclusion of this letter will be found a suggested Constitutional Amendment on this subject, which, incidentally, offers a GRAND WAY TO UNPACK THE FEDERAL COURTS OF OUR NATION !

* * *

WHY NOT A NATIONAL POLL TAX ?

I stand for a Constitutional Amendment, establishing a **POLL TAX OF $1 everywhere** under the American flag, as a requirement for voting in a national election, the money to go toward the expenses of the balloting, with the surplus to augment the school funds.

The real object of the tax is to discourage from voting the extremely ignorant, stupid, thriftless, moronic boob, who constitutes at least 20 to 30 per cent of our population in most States.

He is so little interested in government that he would not be willing to pay the $1 requisite to his registration.

ANY PERSON WHO IS UNWILLING OR UNABLE TO PAY $1 toward the support of his government should NOT BE ALLOWED TO VOTE.

Of course quite a number of States do **exclude the boob,** by means of educational tests.

As for the person on relief, he should be encouraged to earn his own living, by disfranchising him while on the dole.

The original thirteen States required property ownership for voting. This was a good thing, on the whole; and when universal suffrage (not including slaves) came in, it did not apply to States Senates, voting in many of which still required ownership of 50 acres of land.

Such a national poll tax would hurt the New Deal, since the "Deal's" chief and strongest support comes from the very dregs of our society.

Perhaps 80% of the New Deal voters in the North and West are so ignorant that they would not know what the "Dealers" were doing to their country until the Republic had crashed in eternal ruins!

* * * *

REPUBLICAN SENATORS have announced a move for a Constitutional Amendment, outlawing the "poll tax," as they say, "to give the vote to the Southern Negro" . . . **It is NOT the Southern Negro they are interested in.** It is the "vote" of the Northern Negro they are trying to catch.

This, gentlemen, is **"demagoguery,"** of which you may some day be ashamed.

In the first place, **this will not win the Northern Negro's vote.** It will be for Roosevelt, in spite of hell and high water.

The Republican Party (of which I have been a life-long adherent) was, unfortunately, organized to—or at least it did—DESTROY THE OLD SOUTH. The South has never recovered from the Civil War, and perhaps never will.

After killing her men upon the field of battle, scoundrels were sent in to rob and ruin the widows and orphans, which so embittered them that now, after three generations, there are many counties in which not one white Republican vote is cast.

By neglecting the South—by simply turning that section, in toto, without a contest, over to the old Democratic party—the Republican party of the North has suffered many a disastrous political defeat IN THE NATION.

In 1916, Hughes for President carried THREE-FIFTHS of the North, East and West, including New York, but the SOLID SOUTH turned the tide. It elected Woodrow Wilson, who maneuvered us into the first World War, which in turn caused the second war, from which may come the **destruction of white civilization.**

All of this traces back to our disastrous Civil War, caused by the Negro question, which would have solved itself without war inside of forty years.

The "white vote" outnumbering the Negro vote in the nation TEN TO ONE, is the vote for you to go after, dear G. O. P. Senators! Remember Detroit!

The white American people do not wish to persecute the colored; neither do they APPROVE OF ITS "CODDLING", by the Roosevelts in Washington.

By resisting this "coddling", we can win back FIVE WHITES VOTES, North and South, for every ONE colored vote we can gain by toadying to them.

There was almost a sure chance this year to make a permanent "BREAK" into the Solid South, if we had simply kept our hands off this dangerous "color" question. I AM AFRAID THE ACTION OF THE G. O. P. SENATORS HAS THROWN THIS CHANCE AWAY !

The White Southerners, you may depend upon it, will shed their blood rather than submit to NEGRO DOMINATION. You should have sense enough to know this.

* * * *

NATIONAL NOMINATING Conventions are a pest, have no standing under our Constitution. They are assemblages of **politicians,** not of statesmen. They select for the nomination, not the man they think will make the best President, but the **man they think will make the best race,** look the best on the surface to the superficial moron.

If there be national convention, they should be solely for the preparation of a national PLATFORM OF PRINCIPLES, upon which candidates for congress are to run.

Let the legislatures designate the electors, who will meet in December and SELECT THE PRESIDENT.

This is the way provided by our Constitution, and was used to select Washington, Adams, Jefferson, Madison, Monroe and J. Q. Adams— the ablest group of six Presidents ever selected. No ordinary voter ever cast a vote for George Washington, yet he, selected unanimously by the electors, was OUR GREATEST PRESIDENT !

Back to the Constitution !

* * *

EXCESS OF WOMEN EXPECTED

The great war and other factors are expected to produce a great shortage of men, and a marked excess of unmarried women in the years to come . . . I have some interesting statistics for next issue . . . Watch for it!

* * *

PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

(This amendment will "unpack" the courts).

Section 1. An impartial, non-partisan judiciary being essential to the maintenance of free government, it is hereby provided that no person shall be appointed to nor hold a position as Federal Judge who has not served five years upon the State judicial bench, of the State from which he is appointed. All members of the Supreme Court of the United States must have served five years upon the lower Federal bench.

This amendment shall not apply to appointments made before 1933.

Section 2. All judicial appointments shall be made by the U. S. Senate by a majority vote of its membership, preferably after such appointments have received the aproval of a substantial portion of the bar or bar association membership of the district to be served.

Section 3. No person shall serve as a justice of the Supreme Court of the United States who was not born in the United States.

Section 4. All judges now serving on the Federal bench who do not fulfill the requirements of this amendment shall vacate their judicial offices as rapidly as their successors shall be named by the U. S. Senate, whereupon their salaries shall cease.

(OTHER SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO BE PRINTED LATER)..

OLIVES
GIFFORD'S California Olives.

Across the Street — — Across the State
ACE VAN & STORAGE
Long Distance Moving
Van & Fireproof Storage
Phone R-1146 3720 El Cajon Blvd.

REPUBLIC ON TRIAL

(Continued from Page 1)

Dennis wound up his opening statement to the jury with the charge that Justice Eicher had ruled when the prosecution made its opening statement that defense attorneys would not be permitted to interrupt its delivery to enter objections into the record. He said the judge further promised that the prosecution would not be permitted to interrupt the defense attorneys. Yet, he said, Rogge interrupted three times and his objections were sustained.

Calls Prosecution Pessimistic

Attorney Ben Lindas spoke for 40 minutes on behalf of his client, George Sylvester Viereck, who is now serving a term for failing to register as a German agent. Lindas pictured Viereck as a friend of diplomats and high Government officials. He said Treasury Secretary Morgenthau once tried to get Viereck to "join a small board of strategy to help make Franklin D. Roosevelt Preseident."

Lindas declared that if the defendants were guilty of all the things alleged by the prosecution in its opening statement, they were guilty of treason and not sedition and should be tried under the treason laws. He said the prosecutors knew they couldn't prove all the things they said about the defendants in the opening statement and therefore brought the case under the sedition law .

Cites Col. House's "Conference"

Lindas promised to prove that Viereck had the confidence of such Americans as Col. E. M. House, confidential advisor to President Wilson; William Jennings Bryan, the elder Senator La Follette, Senator Borah and Theodore Roosevelt. Even Franklin D. Roosevelt, when Governor, conferred with Viereck for the coming presidential campaign, the attorney said.

Two of Viereck's sons went into the American Army, Mr. Lindas recalled, and one was killed on the Anzio beachhead.

The Nazis, the attorney said, burned Viereck's book on Jewish history. Viereck once stood in Madison Square Garden, New York, before a meeting sponsored by the German-American Bund, the attorney continued, and opposed National Socialism for the United States and stood stoutly for American democracy.

Chief Justice Elmer C. Eicher brought a quiet and orderly session of the trial yesterday afternoon out of a clamorous opening earlier in the day. A defense attorney, Henry H. Klein, was fined $200 and a defendant, Ellis O. Jones, acting as his own counsel, was fined $100, and the court warned the defense that further outbreaks would bring severe penalties for contempt of court. The two fines were meted out for refusal to obey the court's order to stop talking and sit down. Mindful that certain defendants have interrupted the trial frequently with shouted comments and imprecations, Justice Eicher said any such interruptions hereafter would bring prison sentences.

Dilling Speaks for Ex-Wife

In another opening statement for the defense, Albert W. Dilling, representing his former wife, Elizabeth Dilling, said the evidence would show she never was guilty of conspiring with any one and that the only "ism" she advocated was Americanism.

"We will prove," he promised "that Mrs. Dilling's every word and deed have been in support of her flag and her country. She laid stress on Communism in her writings because of what she saw in Russia. She was shocked at the desecration of churches and the suppression of Christianity there."

Mr. Dilling said he would show the defendant's loyalty to her country by introducing "The Red Network" and other books and pamphlets she wrote, which were indorsed by the American Coalition of Patriotic Societies, the DAR, the American Legion Americanism Committee and other organizations. She never was anti-Semitic, the attorney insisted, but became convinced that Jewish leadership predominated all Marxist movements and learned that the B'nai B'rith "has been the spearhead of the Smearbund in this country" and the Zionist cause, under the leadership of Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, had made "common cause with the Reds".

Defense attorney James J. Laughlin meanwhile filed a $1,000,000 slander and libel suit against calumnist-radio commentator Drew Pearson, the Blue Net-work Co., Inc., and radio station WMAL, Washington.

Jones was fined for interrupting Eicher's reading of his denial of the mistrial motions. "I am not only placed in double jeopardy but triple jeopardy by this conviction—this indictment—," Jones said.

Laughlin asked the court to hold Rogge and Burns in contempt of court for allegedly giving the names of the witnesses it expects to use during the trial to the newspapers "with a view of obtaining publicity". Laughlin was fined $150 for contempt of court for filing documents that the court ruled were filed for publicity purposes.

Laughlin said that he was suing Pearson for a statement allegedly made in a radio broadcast Sunday night that Laughlin probably will be indicted by a Maryland grand jury for allegedly changing certain records used in a bank robbery trial. Laughlin defended the men charged with the robbery and they were acquitted. It was found after the men were acquitted that the records, an important piece of evidence in the case, had been falsified. Laughlin said the records were part of the evidence before be became connected with the case.

Klein Shouts at Judge

After Justice Eicher had ruled that he would hear no more arguments on the motions for a mistrial, Klein became vehement and was fined $50 for being in contempt when he ignored the judge's admonitions to him to "sit down".

Declaring that he did not have the money to pay the fine imposed by the court, Klein continued to address the court and the fine was raised to $75. Further protests lifted it to $100 and then $200. Klein was ordered to pay it before Thursday.

Albert W. Dilling, of Chicago, who is representing his former wife, Mrs. Elizabeth Dilling, a defendant, declared in his opening statement that Mrs. Dilling was a "lone crusader" against communism.

Observing that Prosecutor Rogge had emphasized the anti-Semitic writings of the defendants in their allegedly seditious activities, Dilling declared that Mrs. Dilling had "never criticized Jewry only insofar as it has interfered with the rights of Christians." He added that she opposed subordination of the constitutional rights of a Gentile majority to a minority of Jewish Communists.

Dilling said that he expects to show by evidence that B'nai B'rith is the "main force" back of the Justice Department's action in bringing the 29 defendants to trial. He said Mrs. Dilling is not anti-Semitic, never has been, and has attacked the Jews only for their communistic activities that she believes have, since the inception of the New Deal, constituted a "clear and present danger to our form of government."

Henry H. Klein, counsel for Eugene N. Sanctuary, said Supreme Court Justice Felix Frankfurter, Sidney Hillman, head of the C. I. O. political action committee, and Harold Laski, of London (not otherwise identified by Klein), were the "chief instruments of Communism in this country."

Describing the trial as the most important in the history of American criminal jurisprudence, Klein said it was ordered by President Roosevelt "to cover the crimes of government" and was instigated by "so-called professional Jews".

He added that the grand jury which returned the indictment was "dominated" by Chief Prosecutor O. John Rogge, but Trial Judge Edward C. Eicher directed the jury to disregard the remark.

Asserting that Premier Stalin of Russia has a "death grip" on President Roosevelt and Prime Minister Churchill of Great Britain, Klein said only a final word from Stalin was needed for a "triumph of Communism" in the United States.

The attorney, who described himself to the jury as a Jew, denounced many Jewish leaders, including Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, of New York, whom he called a "political rabbi".

Joseph H. Bilbrey, attorney of Franz K. Ferenz, of Los Angeles, said the defendants merely "yielded to the spirit of the times and shot off their bazoos."

The trial was nearing the testimony stage—after more than five weeks.

Smith & Haight
OLDSMOBILE DEALERS
Better Used Cars
1521 Broadway -:- San Diego

Cigarette, Moth
HOLES Rewoven. $1. up; with this ad. 50c off.
HAYES MENDING
2139 El Cajon Blvd. J. 1145